

EDWARD H. KUBO, JR. #2499
United States Attorney
District of Hawaii

FLORENCE T. NAKAKUNI #2286
Chief, Narcotics Section

MARK A. INCIONG CA BAR #163443
Assistant U.S. Attorney
PJKK Federal Bldg.
300 Ala Moana Boulevard
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850
Telephone: (808) 541-2850
Facsimile: (808) 541-2958
mark.inciong@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR. NO. 05-00106 HG
)	
Plaintiff,)	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S
)	PROPOSED VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS;
vs.)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
)	
HOLLY KOLIOPOULOS, (2))	
)	TRIAL: August 23, 2006
)	TIME: 9:30 a.m.
Defendant.)	JUDGE: Hon. Alan Cooke Kay
)	
)	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S
PROPOSED VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS

COMES NOW the United States of America, by and through
Edward H. Kubo, Jr., United States Attorney, and Mark A.
Inciong, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby submits the
attached Voir Dire questions in the above-captioned matter.

The United States respectfully reserves the right to request further voir dire questions.

VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS

1. This case involves the making and manufacture of methamphetamine or "ice." Does anyone on the panel have strong feelings about the laws of the United States relating to drugs? Does anyone believe that methamphetamine, or any other drug, should be legalized? Would your views prevent you from being fair and impartial in this case?
2. The Court will instruct you about the law. Will you follow the law as given by the Court, even if you disagree with it?
3. Do each of you understand that conspiracy is a kind of criminal partnership and the crime of conspiracy is the agreement to do something unlawful? Do you also understand that it does not matter whether the actual crime agreed upon was committed in order to be guilty of conspiracy?
4. Do you understand that someone who willfully participates in an unlawful plan which comprises the conspiracy is as responsible for it as all other members of the conspiracy even if the person does not have full knowledge of all of the details of the

conspiracy?

5. The indictment lists certain overt acts performed in furtherance of the conspiracy - do each of you understand that an overt act, by itself, does not have to be unlawful? Do you also understand the Government does is not required to prove any of the overt acts listed in the indictment?
6. Does anyone have any disagreement with the law regarding conspiracy and/or feel they would not be able to follow the conspiracy laws as instructed by the Court?
7. Has any juror or a family member or close friend ever been arrested or the subject of an investigation by law enforcement? Would that experience prevent you from being fair and impartial towards law enforcement?
8. The United States will call Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and Hawaii Narcotics Enforcement Division agents officers as witnesses. Does anyone have any experience with, or knowledge of, these agencies? If so, would that prevent you from being fair and impartial?
9. Has anyone had an unpleasant or negative experience with any law enforcement personnel? Would that cause you to be biased against law enforcement or the

prosecution in this case?

10. Has anyone ever had any disputes with any agency of the United States government or filed suit against any agency of the United States government? If so, please describe.
11. Has anyone had any training in the law? If so, please explain.
12. Does everyone understand that the differences between circumstantial and direct evidence and that they may be given identical weight in your deliberations? Will anyone have trouble giving circumstantial evidence the same weight as direct evidence?
13. Do you understand you cannot consider any feelings of sympathy or pity you may have for the Defendant(s) when deciding the facts of this case?
14. Have you or any relatives or close friends ever had any addiction problems with alcohol or drugs? If so, please approach the bench.
15. Has any juror, or family member or close friend of a juror, ever been accused of, arrested for, charged with or convicted of any crime other than a minor traffic ticket? Would that experience prevent you from being fair and impartial towards law enforcement?

16. Does anyone feel that due to philosophical, moral, religious or other reasons that they would have difficulty finding the Defendants guilty even if the United States had proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt?

DATED: July 21, 2006, at Honolulu, Hawaii.

EDWARD H. KUBO, JR.
United States Attorney

By /s/ Mark A. Inciong
MARK A. INCIONG
Assistant U.S. Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on the date and by the method of service noted below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the following at their last known addresses:

Served Electronically by CM/ECF: July 21, 2006

HARLAN KIMURA, ESQ.
hyk@aloha.net

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, July 21, 2006.

/s/ M. Derby-Taufa'asau